

# BANACH SPACES WITH MANY BOUNDEDLY COMPLETE BASIC SEQUENCES FAILING PCP

GINÉS LÓPEZ PÉREZ

*To my mother Francisca and my sister Isabel, in memoriam*

ABSTRACT. We prove that there exist Banach spaces not containing  $\ell_1$ , failing the point of continuity property and satisfying that every semi-normalized basic sequence has a boundedly complete basic subsequence. This answers in the negative the problem of the Remark 2 in [10].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that a Banach space is said to have the point of continuity property (PCP) provided every non-empty closed and bounded subset admits a point of continuity of the identity map from the weak to norm topologies. It is known that Banach spaces with Radon-Nikodym property, including separable dual spaces, satisfy PCP, but the converse is false (see [2]). The PCP has been characterized for separable Banach spaces in [2] and [5], and this characterization implies that Banach spaces with PCP have many boundedly complete basic sequences, and so many subspaces which are separable dual spaces. As PCP is separably determined [1], that is, a Banach space satisfies PCP if every separable subspace has PCP, it is natural looking for a sequential characterization of PCP. In this sense, it has been proved in [10] that every semi-normalized basic sequence in a Banach space with PCP has a boundedly complete subsequence. The converse of the above result is false in general, but it is open for Banach spaces not containing  $\ell_1$  (see Remark 2 in [10]). The goal of this note is to prove in corollary 2.4 that there exist Banach spaces failing PCP and not containing  $\ell_1$  such that every semi-normalized basic sequence has a boundedly complete subsequence. Concretely, the space  $B_\infty$ , the natural predual of the space  $JT_\infty$ , constructed in [5] is the desired example.

We begin with some notation and preliminaries. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $\{e_n\}$  be a basic sequence in  $X$ .  $\{e_n\}$  is said to be semi-normalized

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20, 46B22. Key words: point of continuity property, boundedly complete sequences, supershrinking sequences.

Partially supported by MEC (Spain) Grant MTM2006-04837 and Junta de Andalucía Grants FQM-185 and Proyecto de Excelencia P06-FQM-01438.

if  $0 < \inf_n \|e_n\| \leq \sup_n \|e_n\| < +\infty$ ,  $X^*$  denotes the topological dual of  $X$  and the closed linear span of  $\{e_n\}$  is denoted by  $[e_n]$ .  $\{e_n\}$  is called

- i) *boundedly complete* provided whenever scalars  $\{\lambda_i\}$  satisfy  $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i\| < +\infty$ , then  $\sum_n \lambda_n e_n$  converges.
- ii) *shrinking* if the scalar sequence  $\{\|f|_{[e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots]}\|\}$  converges to zero  $\forall f \in X^*$ .
- iii) *supershrinking* provided  $\{e_n\}$  is shrinking and whenever scalars  $\{\lambda_i\}$  satisfy  $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i\| < +\infty$  and  $\{\lambda_i\} \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\sum_n \lambda_n e_n$  converges.
- iv) *strongly summing* provided is a weakly Cauchy sequence and whenever scalars  $\{\lambda_i\}$  satisfy  $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i\| < +\infty$ , then  $\sum_n \lambda_n$  converges.

A boundedly complete basic sequence spans a dual space and a shrinking basic sequence  $\{e_n\}$  spans a subspace whose dual has a basis  $\{f_n\}$ , called the sequence of associated functionals to  $\{e_n\}$ . A boundedly complete and shrinking basic sequence spans a reflexive subspace and a basic sequence in a reflexive space is both boundedly complete and shrinking [9].

The supershrinking basic sequences appear in [6] and [7], where it is proved that a Banach space  $X$  with a supershrinking basis not containing  $c_0$  is somewhat order one quasireflexive, whenever  $X$  not contains isomorphic subspaces to  $c_0$ . Then  $X$  has many boundedly complete basic sequences. The space  $B_\infty$  has a supershrinking basis (see [6] and theorem IV.2 in [5]), not contains  $c_0$  and fails PCP [5], so  $B_\infty$  is a good candidate to be the desired example. Other examples with a supershrinking basis are  $c_0$  and  $B$ , the natural predual of James tree space  $JT$  [5]. It is worth to mention that a semi-normalized basis of a Banach space  $X$  is supershrinking if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \{x^{**} \in X^{**} : \lim_n x^{**}(f_n) = 0\} = X$$

where  $\{f_n\}$  is the associated functional sequence [6].

The strongly summing basic sequences appear in [11], where it is proved the remarkable  $c_0$ -theorem, which assures that every weak Cauchy non-trivial sequence in a Banach space not containing  $c_0$ , has a strongly summing basic subsequence. A weak Cauchy sequence in a Banach space is said to be non-trivial if does not converge weakly. Finally, we recall that if  $\{e_n\}$  is a strongly summing sequence, then  $\{v_n\}$  is a basic sequence, where  $\{v_n\}$  is the difference sequence of  $\{e_n\}$ , that is,  $v_1 = e_1$  and  $v_n = e_n - e_{n-1}$  for  $n > 1$  ([11]).

There is a very easy connection between supershrinking, strongly summing and boundedly complete basic sequences, which implicitly appears in [10]. We give it here for sake of completeness.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\{e_n\}$  a semi-normalized strongly summing basic sequence with difference sequence  $\{v_n\}$ . If  $\{v_n\}$  is supershrinking, then  $\{e_n\}$  is boundedly complete. In fact,  $[e_n]$  is order one quasireflexive, that is,  $[e_n]$  has codimension 1 in  $[e_n]^{**}$*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be scalars so that  $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i\| < +\infty$ . We have to prove that  $\sum_n \lambda_n e_n$  converges in order to obtain that  $\{e_n\}$  is boundedly complete. As  $\{e_n\}$  is strongly summing, hence  $\sum_n \lambda_n$  converges. Define  $\mu_n = \sum_{i=n}^{+\infty} \lambda_i \forall n$ . Then  $\{\mu_n\}$  converges to zero and

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_i + \mu_n e_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

So,  $\sup_n \|\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i\| < +\infty$  and then  $\sum_n \mu_n v_n$  converges, by hypothesis. Finally,  $\sum_n \lambda_n e_n$  converges by 1.2, since  $\{\mu_n\} \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, we conclude that  $[e_n]$  is order one quasireflexive. For this, put  $e_n^* = v_n^* - v_{n+1}^*$ , where  $\{v_n^*\}$  is the associated functional sequence to  $\{v_n\}$ . Then  $\{e_n^*\}$  is the associated functional sequence to  $\{e_n\}$ . Observe that  $[e_n]^* = [v_n^*]$ , since  $\{v_n\}$  is shrinking. Hence,  $[e_n^*]$  has codimension 1 in  $[e_n]^*$ , since  $x^{**}(e_n^*) = 0$  for every  $n$  and  $x^{**}(v_1^*) = 1$ , where  $x^{**}(x^*) = \lim_n x^*(e_n)$  for every  $x^* \in [e_n]^*$  exists because  $\{e_n\}$  is weakly Cauchy. In fact,  $[e_n]^* = [e_n^*] \oplus [v_1^*]$ . But  $[e_n^*]^*$  is canonically isomorphic to  $[e_n]$ , since  $\{e_n\}$  is a boundedly complete sequence. Then  $[e_n]$  has codimension 1 in  $[e_n]^{**}$ . ■

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The corollary 2.4 announced in the introduction will be deduced from the following more general result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a semi-normalized supershrinking basis, not containing  $c_0$ . Then every non-trivial weak Cauchy sequence has a boundedly complete basic subsequence.*

Before prove this theorem, we need the following stability property of supershrinking basic block sequences.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a semi-normalized supershrinking basis  $\{e_n\}$ . If  $v_n = \sum_{k=\sigma(n-1)+1}^{\sigma(n)} \lambda_k e_k$  is a basic block of  $\{e_n\}$  with  $\{\lambda_n\}$  bounded, then  $\{v_n\}$  is a supershrinking basic sequence.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}, \{g_n\}$  be the sequences of associated functionals to  $\{e_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$ , respectively. Then  $f_k = \lambda_k g_n$  whenever  $\sigma(n-1) + 1 \leq k \leq \sigma(n)$ . In order to show that  $\{v_n\}$  is a supershrinking basic sequence we check the equality 1.1.

Pick  $y^{**} \in [v_n]^{**}$  with  $\lim_n y^{**}(g_n) = 0$  then  $\lim_n y^{**}(f_n) = 0$ , since  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is bounded. So,  $y^{**} \in X$  and  $\{v_n\}$  is supershrinking. ■

Now, we show that Banach spaces with a supershrinking basis without copies of  $c_0$  contain many reflexive subspaces.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a semi-normalized supershrinking basis  $\{e_n\}$  without isomorphic subspaces to  $c_0$ . Then every subsequence of  $\{e_n\}$  has a further subsequence whose closed linear span is a reflexive subspace.*

*Proof.* It is clear that it is enough to prove that  $\{e_n\}$  has a subsequence whose closed linear span is a reflexive subspace.

For this, we apply the Elton Theorem [3] to obtain  $\{e_{\sigma(n)}\}$  a basic subsequence of  $\{e_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_k \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_{\sigma(i)} \right\| = +\infty \quad \forall \{a_i\} \notin c_0.$$

We put  $Y = [e_{\sigma(n)}]$ . To see that  $Y$  is reflexive it suffices to prove that  $\{e_{\sigma(n)}\}$  is a boundedly complete basic sequence in  $Y$ , since  $\{e_{\sigma(n)}\}$  is a shrinking basic sequence.

Let  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup_n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k e_{\sigma(k)} \right\| < +\infty$ . Then  $\{\lambda_n\} \in c_0$  and  $\sum_n \lambda_n e_{\sigma(n)}$  converges, since  $\{e_{\sigma(n)}\}$  is supershrinking, that is  $Y$  is reflexive.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof. of theorem 2.1.* Let  $\{f_n\}$  be the functional sequence associated to  $\{e_n\}$  and assume, without loss of generality that  $\{e_n\}$  is monotone, that is,  $\|Q_n\| \leq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\{Q_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k\}$  is the sequence of the projections of the basis  $\{e_n\}$ . Put  $M = \sup_n \|e_n\|$  and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a non-trivial weak Cauchy in  $X$ . By the  $c_0$ -theorem, we can assume that there is a strongly summing basic subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ , so we in fact assume that  $\{x_n\}$  itself is a non-trivial weak Cauchy strongly summing basic sequence.

We claim that there exist integers  $0 < \sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots$ ,  $0 = m_0 < 1 = m_1 < m_2 < \dots$  and  $\{v_n\}$  a basic sequence such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{i)} \\ & |f_h(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_h(x_k)| < \frac{1}{2^{n+3} m_n M} \quad \forall k \geq \sigma(n), \quad h \leq m_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ii)} \quad v_n \in [e_k : m_{n-1} + 1 \leq k \leq m_{n+1}] \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{iii)} \quad \|v_n - z_n\| < 1/2^{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\{z_n\}$  is the difference sequence of  $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ , that is,  $z_1 = x_{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $z_n = x_{\sigma(n)} - x_{\sigma(n-1)}$  for all  $n > 1$ .

As  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly Cauchy, there is  $\sigma(1) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad |f_1(x_{\sigma(1)}) - f_1(x_k)| < 1/2^4 M \quad \forall k \geq \sigma(1).$$

Choose  $m_2 > m_1$  such that  $\left\| \sum_{n=m_2+1}^{+\infty} f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}) e_n \right\| < 1/2^2$  and put  $v_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{m_2} f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}) e_n$ . Then  $\|z_1 - v_1\| = \left\| \sum_{n=m_2+1}^{+\infty} f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}) e_n \right\| < 1/2^2$ .

Pick now  $\sigma(2) > \sigma(1)$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad |f_h(x_{\sigma(2)}) - f_h(x_k)| < \frac{1}{2^5 m_2 M} \quad \forall k \geq \sigma(2), \quad h \leq m_2$$

Chose  $m_3 > m_2$  such that  $\|\sum_{n=m_3+1}^{+\infty} (f_n(x_{\sigma(2)}) - f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}))e_n\| < 1/2^4$ .

Put now  $v_2 = \sum_{n=m_1+1}^{m_3} (f_n(x_{\sigma(2)}) - f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}))e_n$ . Then  $\|z_2 - v_2\| \leq \|(f_1(x_{\sigma(2)}) - f_1(x_{\sigma(1)}))e_1\| + \|\sum_{n=m_3+1}^{+\infty} (f_n(x_{\sigma(2)}) - f_n(x_{\sigma(1)}))e_n\| < 1/2^4 + 1/2^4 = 1/2^3$ , by 2.2 and 2.3.

Assume, inductively, that  $m_2 < m_3 < \dots < m_{n+1}$ ,  $\sigma(2) < \sigma(3) < \dots < \sigma(n)$ ,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  have been constructed such that

$$(2.4) \quad |f_h(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_h(x_k)| < \frac{1}{2^{n+3} m_n M} \quad \forall k \geq \sigma(n), \quad h \leq m_n$$

Pick now  $m_{n+2} > m_{n+1}$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=m_{n+2}+1}^{+\infty} (f_n(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_n(x_{\sigma(n)}))e_n \right\| < 1/2^{n+3}.$$

Put  $v_{n+1} = \sum_{i=m_n+1}^{m_{n+2}} (f_i(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_i(x_{\sigma(n)}))e_i$ . Then  $\|z_{n+1} - v_{n+1}\| \leq \|\sum_{i=1}^{m_n} (f_i(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_i(x_{\sigma(n)}))e_i\| + \|\sum_{i=m_{n+2}+1}^{+\infty} (f_i(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_i(x_{\sigma(n)}))e_i\| < 1/2^{n+3} + 1/2^{n+3} = 1/2^{n+2}$ , by 2.4 and 2.5.

Now, choose  $\sigma(n+1) > \sigma(n)$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad |f_h(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_h(x_k)| < \frac{1}{2^{n+4} m_{n+1} M} \quad \forall k \geq \sigma(n+1), \quad h \leq m_{n+1}.$$

Then the induction is complete and the claim is proved.

From the claim, it is clear that  $\{v_n\}$  is a basic sequence equivalent to  $\{z_n\}$ , the difference sequence of  $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ , since  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \|z_n - v_n\| < 1/2$  (see proposition 1.a.9 in [9]). Also, we obtain that  $[v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots] \subset [e_{m_{n-1}+1}, e_{m_{n-1}+2}, \dots]$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\{v_n\}$  is a shrinking basic sequence, since  $\{e_n\}$  it is.

Now, let us see that  $\{v_n\}$  is a supershrinking basic sequence. For this, we chose  $\{\lambda_n\}$  a scalar sequence such that  $\sup_n \|\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k\| < +\infty$  and we have to prove that  $\sum_n \lambda_n v_n$  converges, whenever  $\{\lambda_n\} \rightarrow 0$ .

From the proof of the claim  $v_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{m_2} f_n(x_{\sigma(1)})e_n$ , and for every  $n > 1$   $v_n = \sum_{k=m_{n-1}+1}^{m_{n+1}} (f_k(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n-1)}))e_k$ .

Put  $\mu_i = \lambda_1 f_i(x_{\sigma(1)})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m_1$ ,  $\mu_i = \lambda_1 f_i(x_{\sigma(1)}) + \lambda_2 (f_i(x_{\sigma(2)}) - f_i(x_{\sigma(1)}))$  for  $m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_2$  and  $\mu_i = \lambda_{k-1} (f_i(x_{\sigma(k-1)}) - f_i(x_{\sigma(k-2)})) + \lambda_k (f_i(x_{\sigma(k)}) - f_i(x_{\sigma(k-1)}))$  for  $m_{k-1} + 1 \leq i \leq m_k$  and  $k > 2$ .

As  $\{\lambda_n\} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\{e_n\}$  is a seminormalized basis of  $X$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, we deduce that  $\{\mu_n\} \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, we have the following equality for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \mu_k e_k + \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \lambda_n (f_k(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n-1)}))e_k$$

Hence, whenever  $m_n + 1 \leq p < m_{n+1}$ ,  $n > 1$  we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^p \mu_k e_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k + \sum_{k=m_n+1}^p \lambda_{n+1} (f_k(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n)})) e_k \\ - \sum_{k=p+1}^{m_{n+1}} \lambda_n (f_k(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n-1)})) e_k$$

Now, as  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{Q_n\}$  are bounded and  $\{\lambda_n\} \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_n \sum_{k=p+1}^{m_{n+1}} \lambda_n (f_k(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n-1)})) e_k = \\ \lim_n \sum_{k=m_n+1}^p \lambda_{n+1} (f_k(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n)})) e_k = 0,$$

since for every  $m_n + 1 \leq p < m_{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$  we have:

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k=p+1}^{m_{n+1}} \lambda_n (f_k(x_{\sigma(n)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n-1)})) e_k = \lambda_n (Q_{m_{n+1}} - Q_p)(x_{\sigma(n)} - x_{\sigma(n-1)}), \\ \sum_{k=m_n+1}^p \lambda_{n+1} (f_k(x_{\sigma(n+1)}) - f_k(x_{\sigma(n)})) e_k = \lambda_{n+1} (Q_p - Q_{m_n})(x_{\sigma(n+1)} - x_{\sigma(n)})$$

From 2.8 and 2.10, it can be deduced that  $\sup_p \|\sum_{n=1}^p \mu_n e_n\| < +\infty$  and so,  $\sum_n \mu_n e_n$  converges, since  $\{\mu_n\} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\{e_n\}$  is supershrinking. Then  $\sum_n \lambda_n v_n$  converges by 2.8 and 2.9 and we have proved that  $\{v_n\}$  is a supershrinking basic sequence equivalent to the difference sequence of  $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ . Finally,  $\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$  is boundedly complete by lemma 1.1, since it is strongly summing. In fact,  $[x_{\sigma(n)}]$  is order one quasireflexive, by lemma 1.1. ■

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with a semi-normalized supershrinking basis not containing  $c_0$ . Then every semi-normalized basic sequence in  $X$  has a boundedly complete subsequence spanning a reflexive or an order one quasireflexive subspace of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}$  a semi-normalized basic sequence in  $X$ . As  $X$  not contains isomorphic subspaces to  $\ell_1$ , we can assume that  $\{x_n\}$  itself is weakly Cauchy, by the  $\ell_1$ -theorem [12]. If  $\{x_n\}$  is not weakly convergent, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a semi-normalized non-trivial weak Cauchy sequence and  $\{x_n\}$  has a boundedly complete subsequence spanning an order one quasireflexive subspace, by theorem 2.1, and we are done.

If  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to zero, because  $\{x_n\}$  is a basic sequence. Now, it is straightforward construct a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  equivalent to a basic block of the basis. So, we can assume that  $\{x_n\}$  is a semi-normalized basic sequence equivalent to a basic block of

the basis. Following the proof of proposition 1.a.11 in [9], it is easy to construct this basic block satisfying the hypothesis of lemma 2.2. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a supershrinking basic subsequence and, by proposition 2.3,  $\{x_n\}$  has a boundedly complete subsequence spanning a reflexive subspace, so we are done. ■

As we announced in the introduction, it is enough apply the corollary 2.4 to obtain the following

**Corollary 2.5.**  *$B_\infty$  fails PCP, not contains isomorphic subspaces to  $\ell_1$  and every semi-normalized basic sequence in  $B_\infty$  has a boundedly complete subsequence spanning a reflexive or an order one quasireflexive subspace.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $B_\infty$  has a semi-normalized supershrinking basis is consequence of theorem IV.2 in [5]. So  $B_\infty$  has separable dual and not contains subspaces isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ . Now,  $B_\infty$  fails PCP and not contains subspaces isomorphic to  $c_0$  [5]. Finally, by corollary 2.4, every semi-normalized basic sequence in  $B_\infty$  has a boundedly complete subsequence spanning a reflexive or an order one quasireflexive subspace of  $B_\infty$ . ■

Let  $B$  be the natural predual of James tree space  $JT$ . It is known that  $B$  satisfies PCP, and also  $B$  has a semi-normalized supershrinking basis. (See [8] and [7]). As  $B$  not contains isomorphic subspaces to  $c_0$ , [8], we can apply the corollary 2.4, as in corollary 2.5, to obtain the following

**Corollary 2.6.** *Every semi-normalized basic sequence in  $B$  has a boundedly complete subsequence spanning a reflexive or an order one quasireflexive subspace of  $B$ .*

*Remark 2.7.* i) It has been proved in [4] that a Banach space  $X$  with separable dual satisfies PCP if, and only if, every weakly null tree in the unit sphere of  $X$  has a boundedly complete branch, which can be easily deduced from [7]. Also, it is shown in [4] that this characterization of PCP is not true for sequences, by proving that every weakly null sequence in the unit sphere of  $B_\infty$  has a boundedly complete subsequence, while  $B_\infty$  fails PCP. Hence the corollary 2.5 improves this result, since every weakly null sequence in the unit sphere of a Banach space has a semi-normalized basic subsequence.

ii) From corollary 2.4 one might think that the good sequential property in order to imply PCP for Banach spaces with separable dual is that every semi-normalized basic sequence has a subsequence spanning a reflexive subspace. And this is true, but this property implies reflexivity. Indeed, assume that  $X$  is a Banach space satisfying that every semi-normalized basic sequence has a subsequence spanning a reflexive subspace. Take a bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  and prove that  $\{x_n\}$  has a weakly convergent

subsequence. As  $X$  not contains subspaces isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  has a weak Cauchy subsequence  $\{y_n\}$ , by the  $\ell_1$ -theorem. If  $\{y_n\}$  is not semi-normalized, then  $\{y_n\}$  and so  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence weakly convergent to zero and we are done. Hence, assume that  $\{y_n\}$  is a semi-normalized weak Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . If  $\{y_n\}$  is not weakly convergent, then, by the  $c_0$ -theorem, for example,  $\{y_n\}$  has a semi-normalized basic subsequence, since  $X$  not contains isomorphic subspaces to  $c_0$ . By hypothesis,  $\{y_n\}$  has a subsequence spanning a reflexive subspace and hence, this subsequence is weakly convergent to zero, so  $\{x_n\}$  has a weakly convergent subsequence and we are done.

iii) It is known that  $B_\infty$  satisfies the convex point of continuity property CPCP [5], a weaker property than PCP. So it is natural to ask weather a Banach space satisfies CPCP, whenever every semi-normalized basic sequence has a boundedly complete subsequence.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain. *Dentability and finite-dimensional decompositions*. Studia Math. 67 (1980), 135-148.
- [2] J. Bourgain, H. P. Rosenthal. *Geometrical implications of certain finite dimensional decompositions*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Steven 32 (1980), 54-75.
- [3] J. Diestel. *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [4] S. Dutta, V. P. Fonf. *On tree characterizations of  $G_\delta$ -embeddings and some Banach spaces*. Israel J. Math. 167 (2008), 27-48.
- [5] N. Ghoussoub, B. Maurey.  *$G_\delta$ -embeddings in Hilbert spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. 61 (1985), 72-97.
- [6] G. López. *Banach spaces with a supershrinking basis*. Studia Math. 132 (1999), no. 1, 29-36.
- [7] G. López, J. F. Mena. *RNP and KMP are equivalent for some Banach spaces with shrinking basis*. Studia Math. 118 (1996), no. 1, 11-17.
- [8] J. Lindenstrauss, C. Stegall. *Examples of separable spaces which do not contain  $\ell_1$  and whose duals are non-separable*. Studia Math. 54 (1975), 82-105.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach Spaces I*. Springer Verlag. Berlin 1977.
- [10] H. P. Rosenthal. *Boundedly complete weak-Cauchy basic sequences in Banach spaces with PCP*. J. Funct. Anal. 253 (2007), 772-781.
- [11] H. P. Rosenthal. *A characterization of Banach spaces containing  $c_0$* . J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (3) (1994), 707-748.
- [12] H. P. Rosenthal. *A characterization of Banach spaces containing  $\ell_1$* . Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71 (1974), 2411-2413.

UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS  
MATEMÁTICO, 18071-GRANADA (SPAIN)

E-mail address: glopezp@ugr.es